

Final Exam
(25 points)

On a two-century world, unconstrained behavior by each century's aggregated population has each applying symmetric preferences according to

$$U_1 = x_1^\alpha y_1^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 = x_2^\beta y_2^\alpha \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha \gg \beta > 0 \quad (\alpha \text{ is much larger than } \beta).$$

1 denotes Centurion 1 (or C1): the community of all people living in the 1st century.

2 denotes Centurion 2 (or C2): the community of all people living in the 2nd century.

x_1 is consumption by C1 out of its own production (q_1).

y_1 is gifted by C1 to C2, out of C1's production (q_1).

x_2 is gifted by C2 to C1, out of C2's production (q_2).

y_2 is consumption by C2 out of its own production (q_2).

[The possibility that each Centurion has some regard for the other ($\beta > 0$) is a reasonable consequence of two factors: mild altruism and some overlap in that some people are members of both C1 and C2. Notice that no one's utility is changed as a result of gifts received; assume this is a fact.]

An identical, decreasing marginal product production function applies for each period,

$$q_i = m_i^k; \quad i=1,2; \quad k < 1; \quad \text{with} \quad m_1 + m_2 \leq \bar{M}$$

where m is the only input. k and \bar{M} are constants. The m_i are endowments – basically the legal or ethical division of the sole input across the two centuries.

Except for gifting, trade between C1 and C2 is impossible, so $x_i + y_i = q_i$ and these 3 commodities necessarily have the same intra-century value (p_i). In general, $p_1 \neq p_2$ is expected.

- (10 pts) a. For C1 only and for arbitrary α , β , k , and m_1 , find the competitive equilibrium resulting from optimizing behavior by 2 agents. One C1 agent is the producer of q_1 , and the other C1 agent is the aggregate buyer of x_1 and y_1 with the preferences stated above. The buyer/consumer is the only property owner. Neither agent exerts noncompetitive market power.
- (2 pts) b. Accurately state the similar competitive equilibrium resulting for C2.
- (5 pts) c. Are the results of (a) and (b) efficient regardless of the m_i , including the case where $m_2 = 0$ (which would occur if C1 claims all \bar{M} because C2 is not present yet)? Discuss.
- (2 pts) d. Under what conditions would the equilibria found in parts (a) and (b) result in $p_1 = p_2$?
- (6 pts) e. If a social planner applied standard discounting tools to study optimal inter-century allocation, a social welfare function like the following might be applied. In light of your prior findings, what control variable could the planner employ to achieve maximum W , and what would the result be like? Please comment on the normative issues here.

$$W = U_1 + U_2 \frac{1}{(1+d)^{100}} \quad \text{with the discount rate } d \text{ being somewhere in the 2-6\% range}$$